

Search for Cases by: Select Search Method...

Judicial Links

8/23/2019

eFiling

Help

Contact Us | Print

Logon

1922-CC10802 - JILL BROWNING V APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY AL (E-CASE

Case Header

Parties & Attorneys Docket Entries

Charges, Judgments & Sentences

Service Information

Filings Due

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

Civil Judgments Garnishments/ Execution

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Sort Date Entries:

Descending

Ascending

Display Options: All Entries

08/19/2019

Alias Summons Issued

Document ID: 19-SMCC-19438, for PFITZNER, BRADLEY R...

08/14/2019

Summons Returned Non-Est

Document ID - 19-SMCC-14100; Served To - PFITZNER, BRADLEY R.; Server - ; Served Date - 08-AUG-19; Served Time - 00:00:00; Service Type - Special Process Server; Reason Description - Nonest; Service Text - no service

Request Filed

Request for Appointment of Process Server.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

On Behalf Of: JILL BROWNING

Memorandum Filed

Request for Alias Summons.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

Affidavit Filed

Return of Non-Service regarding Bradley R Pfitzner.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

08/05/2019

Notice of Service

Return of Service regarding Apex Physical Therapy, LLC.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

On Behalf Of: JILL BROWNING

Family Member/Roommate Served

Document ID - 19-SMCC-14099; Served To - APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC; Server - ; Served Date - 26-JUL-19; Served Time - 00:00:00; Service Type - Special Process Server; Reason Description -

Served

07/22/2019

Jury Trial Scheduled

Scheduled For: 01/06/2020; 9:00 AM; REX M BURLISON; City of St. Louis

07/08/2019

Summons Issued-Circuit

Document ID: 19-SMCC-14100, for PFITZNER, BRADLEY R...

Summons Issued-Circuit

Document ID: 19-SMCC-14099, for APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC.

07/05/2019

Filing Info Sheet eFiling

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

EXHIBIT A

8/23/2019 Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #5ase-1et: 19/12-05/108/2-37/205et 19/12-05: 2 of 31 PageID #: 9

Request Filed

Request for Appointment of Process Server.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

On Behalf Of: JILL BROWNING

Pet Filed in Circuit Ct

Class Action Petition for Damages.

Filed By: JAMES E BEAL

Judge Assigned

Case.net Version 5.14.0.11

Return to Top of Page

Released 04/25/2019



Search for Cases by: Select Search Method...



Judicial Links

eFiling

Help

| Contact Us | Print

Logon

1922-CC10802 - JILL BROWNING V APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY LLC ET (E-CASE

Case Header

Parties & Attorneys Docket Entries

Charges, Judgments & Sentences

Service Information

Filings Due

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

Civil Judgments Garnishments/ Execution

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

BROWNING, JILL, Plaintiff

6705 PARKWOOD PLACE

represented by

BEAL, JAMES E, Attorney for Plaintiff

120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE SUITE 160

CLAYTON, MO 63105

Business: (314) 725-7577

ST. LOUIS, MO 63116 Year of Birth: 1980

APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC, **Defendant**

STEVEN J ORAVEC, RAGT 884 WOODS MILL ROAD SUITE

200

BALLWIN, MO 63011

PFITZNER, BRADLEY R.,

Defendant

Case.net Version 5.14.0.11

932 BROOKHAVEN LANE SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079

Return to Top of Page

Released 04/25/2019

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #: CaseInet: P11220:008923/129e HP2dge: 4 of 31 PageID #: 11 8/23/2019



Search for Cases by: Select Search Method...

Judicial Links

eFiling

Help

Contact Us

Print

Logon

BROWNING V APEX PHYSICAL 1922-C (E-CASE

Case Header Parties & Attorneys

Docket Entries Charges, Judgments & Sentences Service Information

Filings Due

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

Civil **Judgments** Garnishments/ Execution

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Assigned:

Judge/Commissioner BURLISON, REX M

Location: City of St. Louis Disposition: Not Disposed

Date Filed: 07/05/2019

Case Type: CC Other Tort

Track This Case

Case.net Version 5.14.0.11

Return to Top of Page

Released 04/25/2019

	OR THE 22 ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY, MISSOURI
51. EOOLS	711, MI0500IG
JILL BROWNING,)
Plaintiffs,)
) Cause No.:
vs.)) Division:
APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, L.L.C.,)
Serve Registered Agent:)
Steven J. Oravec)
884 Woods Mill Road, Suite 200) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Ballwin, MO 63011)
)
and)
)
Bradley R. Pfitzner,)
Serve at: 932 Brookhaven Lane)
Sunrise Beach, MO 65079)
)
Defendant.)

CLASS ACTION PETITION FOR DAMAGES

Plaintiff, Jill Browning, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated Missouri residents, alleges and avers the following for this class action against Defendant Apex Physical Therapy, L.L.C.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- This action is brought by the Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all other similarly 1. situated Missouri residents to remedy the unlawful billing practices of the Defendant Apex Physical Therapy L.L.C., hereinafter "Defendant."
- Defendant, as described in detail below, routinely subverts the financial interests 2. of its patients for its own benefit and profit through unlawful and predatory billing practices.
- Defendant, through its employees, screens all new patients to determine if the 3. reason for treatment is an occurrence (such an auto accident, or fall) that may trigger the

patient's right to recovery from a third party for damages arising out of the occurrence in question, such as from a third-party liability insurer.

- 4. Upon information and belief, if the patient is identified as one whose health expenses may be eligible for third-party recovery, Defendant refuses to submit that patient's therapy bills to the patient's health insurance even when Defendant is contractually obligated to submit the bills to the health insurer in question.
- 5. In the meantime, while refusing to submit therapy bills to the patients' health insurance, Defendant routinely seeks payment for the bills directly from those same patients. Defendant does so in a variety of ways including placing a lien on recovery from a third-party liability carrier, demanding cash payments from the patient, turning the patients' accounts over to a collection arm or agency, and by reporting the patients to credit bureaus thereby impairing the patients' credit scores.
- 6. Defendant pursues such course of conduct despite the patients' having health insurance and being contractually entitled to have their health care expenses from Defendant be submitted to their health insurance for payment and processing.
- 7. Upon information and belief Defendant is required by its contracts with patients' health insurance companies to submit their patients' therapy bills directly to such companies, including the named Plaintiffs in this case.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant is contractually obligated to honor a contractual discount with their patients' health insurance companies, including the named Plaintiff in this case.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is precluded by its contracts with private health insurance companies, such as named Plaintiff's health insurers at the relevant time, from

seeking payment directly from the patient, turning the bills over to collections, or filing liens on the patients' personal injury case.

- 10. Defendant fails to inform patients at the time of treatment that they will not honor the patient's health insurance if the case involves a motor vehicle accident.
- 11. In fact, Defendant's employees and representatives routinely tell their patients that their therapy bills will be paid by the "responsible party," or a similar term, and not the patient herself, and then write or enter the name of that third-party liability carrier into relevant forms created and maintained by Defendant in the section called "primary insurance" or "responsible party" or similar.
- 12. By employing such a policy and business model Defendant has unlawfully violated the rights of Plaintiff and the Class Members as described more particularly below.
- 13. Further, such conduct of Defendant, and its agents for which it is responsible, is outrageous, intentional, willful, wanton, and malicious, and otherwise shows a complete indifference to or conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and the Class members such that punitive damages are appropriate and warranted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to RSMO 506.500 et seq.
- 15. Venue is proper in this County pursuant to RSMO 508.010.

PARTIES AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

16. Jill Browning is a resident of St. Louis City, Missouri. She sought treatment from Defendant at one of its facilities for injuries sustained in car accident that occurred on January 26, 2016 in St. Louis City. She treated with Defendant between February 3, 2016 and March 7, 2016. As a result of this treatment, Defendant billed her \$5,381.00.

- 17. At the time Browning presented to Apex PT for treatment, she was insured by Coventry, and tendered this health insurance information to Defendant. Defendant's employee falsely told Browning that it did not need her health insurance, because the "responsible party" would cover her bills.
- 18. Defendant Apex PT is an Illinois Limited Liability Company with multiple treatment locations in the state of Missouri, including in St. Louis City.
- 19. Upon information and belief Defendant has done business at certain relevant times under the fictitious name "Apex Physical Therapy Network."
- 20. Defendant Bradley R. Pfitzner was, at all relevant times, CEO of Defendant Apex PT and has direct, personal knowledge of the facts at issue in this petition.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 21. At the time of intake, Defendant's representatives asked Plaintiff if she had been involved in a car accident. When she said yes, that representative then asked the Plaintiff if she knew the name of the liability insurer for the other driver involved in the MVA because this liability carrier, not Plaintiff's health insurer, would pay her physical therapy bills.
- 22. Defendant never informed Plaintiff that it would actually seek payment directly from her by seeking to be paid for the charges mentioned above out of the Plaintiff's personal injury case or against Plaintiff personally.
- 23. At no time did Defendant adequately explain to Plaintiff the impact on her of this business decision by Defendant.
- 24. After Defendant made clear that it would seek payment directly form Plaintiff,
 Plaintiff made multiple requests that Defendant submit the bills to her health insurer, but
 Defendant refused to do so within a timely manner.

- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant is required by its contracts with the named Plaintiff's health insurance company to submit her bills directly to such company for payment and processing.
- 26. Defendant refused to submit the Plaintiff's bills as it was contractually obligated to do.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was entitled to a contractual reduction in the amount of each of their bills from Defendant for therapy services she received, pursuant to her health insurer's agreement with Defendant.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant is obligated by the terms of its contract with Plaintiff's health insurer to submit a claim to that health insurer for reimbursement of charges incurred by way of delivering therapy services to Plaintiff when it became aware that Plaintiff was insured by an entity with which Defendant has a provider-insurer contract.
- 29. By refusing to bill Plaintiff's health insurers Defendant has caused Plaintiff to incur a financial obligation she would otherwise not have had, and one she should not have as a result of the benefit derived from her health insurer at the relevant times.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant reported this delinquent account to the credit reporting bureaus and in so doing has harmed the Plaintiff's credit rating.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. This action is brought as a plaintiff class pursuant to Missouri Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf and all others similarly situated, as representative of the following class:

All Missouri residents who, since July 15, 2014 received any type of treatment or therapy from any entity located in Missouri that is owned or affiliated with Apex Physical Therapy L.L.C., while being covered by any valid health insurance plan, and whose

health insurance claim resulting from that treatment was not submitted to their health insurer for payment and processing in a timely manner.

- 32. The particular members of the class are capable of being described without difficult managerial or administrative problems. In fact, the members of the Class are readily identifiable from the information and records in the possession and control of the Defendant because, upon information and belief, Defendant maintains an internal system to track which patients come to it for treatment based on occurrences which create the possibility that the patient will recover from a third-party.
- 33. The Class consists of thousands of individual members and is, therefore, so numerous that individual joinder of all members is impractical.
- 34. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class, which questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class and, in fact, the wrongs suffered and remedies sought by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class are premised upon an unlawful scheme perpetuated uniformly upon all Class members. The only material difference between the Class members' claims is the exact monetary amount to which each Class member is entitled.
 - 35. The principal common issues include, but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Whether Defendant entered into express and/or implied agreements with various health insurance carriers providing, among other things, that health insurance claims should be promptly submitted to the carriers for payment.
 - b. Whether Defendant violates its contract with various health insurance carriers by not submitting bills to the carrier, by turning patients' accounts over to collection, and/or by pursuing payment directly from the patients, whether by placing a lien on a personal injury case or otherwise;
 - c. Whether Defendant violates its contract with various health insurance carriers by not offering a contractually agreed discount to patients covered by the policies;

- d. Whether Defendant unlawfully refused to submit the Plaintiffs' and the Class Members' bills to Plaintiffs' and Class Members' health insurance carriers for payment;
- e. Whether Defendant unlawfully represents to new patients that a third-party liability carrier will pay the patient's bills incurred for services the patient obtains from Defendant, without adequately explaining that, in fact, the Defendant will seek payment directly from the patient including but not limited to by seeking to collect directly from the patient's potential third-party recovery;
- f. Whether Defendant profited by refusing to submit its bills to the respective health insurance carriers for payment;
- g. Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched at the Plaintiffs' and the Class Members' expense through the above described misconduct;
- h. Whether Defendant breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing to the Plaintiff and Class through the above described misconduct;
- i. Whether Defendant violated the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act through the above described misconduct;
- j. Whether Defendant has tortiously interfered with Plaintiff's and the Class members' express or implied contracts with their health insurance carriers;
- k. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from continuing its unfair, discriminatory, and abusive conduct;
- 36. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class and are based on the same legal and factual theories.
- 37. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has no claims antagonistic to those of the Class. Plaintiff has retained competent and experienced counsel in class actions. Counsel is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action.
- 38. Certification of a plaintiff class is appropriate in that Plaintiff and the Class members seek monetary damages, common questions predominate over any individual questions, and a plaintiff class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

- 39. A plaintiff class will cause an orderly and expeditious administration of the Class Members' claim and economies of time, effort and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be ensured. Moreover, the individual class members are unlikely to be aware of their rights and are not in a position (either through experience or financially) to commence individual litigation against the likes of the Defendant.
- 40. Alternatively, certification of a plaintiff class is appropriate in that inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant or adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class as a practical matter would be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 41. The Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or correspondence declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

COUNT I (Violation of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. RSMO 407.020 prohibits the "use or employment by any person of any deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, unfair practice or the concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise in trade or commerce."
- 44. An "unfair practice" is defined by Missouri law, 15 CSR 60-8.020, as any practice which:
 - (A) Either-

- 1. Offends any public policy as it has been established by the Constitution, statutes or common law of this state, or by the Federal Trade Commission, or its interpretive decisions; or
- 2. Is unethical, oppressive or unscrupulous; and
- (B) Presents a risk of, or causes, substantial injury to consumers.
- 45. An "unfair practice" is further defined by Missouri law, 15 CSR 60-8.040, accordingly:
 - (1) It is an unfair practice for any person in connection with the advertisement or sale of merchandise to violate the duty of good faith in solicitation, negotiation and performance, or in any manner fail to act in good faith.
- 46. "Merchandise" is defined by the MPA, at RSMO 407.010(4) to include the providing of "services" and, therefore, encompasses the providing of physical therapy services and billing for same.
- 47. "Person" is defined by the MPA, at RSMO 407.010(5), to include and "for-profit or not-for-profit corporation ... company ... business entity or association, and any agent, employee, salesman, partner, officer, director, member, stockholder associate, trustee or cestui que trust thereof."
- 48. The above described billing practices and related misconduct of Defendant violates the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act by, among other things, constituting an unfair practice and breach of the duty of good faith as required under the Act.

COUNT II (Tortious Interference with Contract/Business Relationship)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. Plaintiff and the Class Members enjoyed a valid business relationship with their own health insurance providers by virtue of an express or implied contract that Plaintiff and each individual Class Member had with their health insurance carrier.

- 51. Defendant was informed and had actual knowledge of the above described business relationship involving Plaintiff, the Class Members, and various health insurance carriers.
- 52. Defendant intentionally interfered and prevented Plaintiff and the Class from receiving the benefit of their contractual business relationship. Defendant did so without justification in a malicious attempt to procure additional monies that it was not entitled to in reckless disregard for the damage and harm such action would have on Plaintiff and the Class.
- 53. As a result of Defendant's misconduct of intentionally interfering with Plaintiff's and the Class members' business relationships, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered actual damages.

COUNT III (Unjust Enrichment)

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. As alleged above, Defendant has engaged in a pattern of subverting the financial interests and contractual agreements of Plaintiff and the Class patients of its physical therapy practice for its own pecuniary gain.
- 56. Defendant has been unjustly enriched in that it received and retained benefits of proceeds to which it was not entitled to and received in violation of Missouri law.
- 57. These benefits were unlawfully obtained to the detriment of Plaintiff and the Class members.
- 58. Allowing Defendant to retain the aforementioned benefits violates fundamental principles of justice, equity, and good conscience.

PRAYER FOR DAMAGES AND RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all members of the Class respectfully pray for judgment against the Defendant as follows:

- a) For an order certifying that this action may be maintained as a class action and appointing Plaintiff and their counsel, to represent the Class;
- b) For a declaration that Defendant's actions violated the Plaintiff's and the Class members' rights under Missouri law as plead in Counts I through III;
- c) For all actual damages, statutory damages, penalties, and remedies available for the Defendant's violations of Plaintiff's and the Class member's rights under Missouri law;
- d) For a declaration that Defendant, through its actions and misconduct as alleged above, has been unjustly enriched and an order that Defendant disgorge any unlawfully gained proceeds;
 - e) For pre-judgment interest as provided by law;
 - f) For post-judgment interest as provided by law;
 - g) For a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from engaging in the unlawful billing practices as enumerated above;
 - h) For a reasonable incentive fee to named Plaintiff for her time and effort in bringing this action;
 - i) For an award to Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees;
 - j) For an award to Plaintiff and the Class of their costs and expenses of this action;
 - k) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ James E. Beal

Rogers Sevastianos & Bante LLP Noel A. Sevastianos, #45970 James E. Beal, #58514 120 South Central Avenue, Suite 160 St. Louis, MO 63105-1705 (314) 725-7577 (Phone) (314) 354-8271 (Fax) noel@rsblawfirm.com (email)

jbeal@rsblawfirm.com (email)

Page Law
J. Brad Wilmoth, #56922
9930 Watson Road, Suite 100
St. Louis, MO 63126
(314) 835-5817 (phone)
(314) 835-5865 (fax)
brad@pagelaw.com (email)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

In the **CIRCUIT COURT** City o

City of St. Louis, Missouri	The court of the c	For File S	tamp Only
Jill Browning	7/5/2019		
Plaintiff/Petitioner	Date		
vs.	Case number		
Apex Physical Therapy, LLC	Odse number		
Defendant/Respondent	Division	 L	

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Plaintiff, Jill Browning		, pursuant
	sting Party	•
to Local Rule 14, requests the appointmen	t by the Circuit Clerk of	
Arthur Hidalgo	P.O. Box 66, Chesterfield, MO 63066	(314) 456-9318
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
Bob Thomure	P.O. Box 23008, St. Louis, MO 63156	(314) 517-2798
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
Rufus Harmon	P.O. Box 1784 Jefferson City MO 65102	
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
to serve the summons and petition in this of	cause on the below named parties.	
SERVE:	SERVE:	
Apex Physical Therapy, LLC	Bradley R. Pfltzner	
Name 884 Woods Mill Road, Ste. 200	Name 932 Brookhaven Lane	
Address Ballwin, MO 63011	Address Sunrise Beach, MO 65079	
Clty/State/Zip	City/State/Zip	
SERVE:	SERVE:	
Name	Name	
Address	Address	
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip	
Appointed as requested:		
TOM KLOEPPINGER, Circuit Clerk	/s/ James E. Beal	
TORCKHOM THOMAS OF OUR OTOTIC	Attorney/Plaintlff/Petitioner 58514	
By	Bar No. 120 S. Central Ave. Ste.160 St.	Louis MO63105
populy cloin	Address (314) 725-7577	
Date	Phone No.	

RULE 14 SPECIAL PROCESS SERVERS

- 1. Any person appointed by the Court or the Circuit Clerk to serve process must have a license issued pursuant to this rule to serve process.
- 2. Licenses to serve process shall be issued by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis if the applicant has met the following qualifications:
 - a. Is twenty-one years of age or older;
 - b. Has a high school diploma or an equivalent level of education;
 - c. Has insurance coverage for any errors or omissions occurring in the service of process;
 - d. Has not been convicted, pleaded guilty to or been found guilty of any felony, or of any misdemeanor involving moral turpitude; and,
 - e. Has passed a training course for the service of process which shall be administered by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis.
- 3. Each applicant for a process server license under the provisions of this rule shall provide an affidavit setting forth such person's legal name, current address, any other occupations and current telephone numbers. Licensed process servers shall immediately notify the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis of any change in the above information, and the failure to do so shall constitute good cause for the revocation of such person's license.
- 4. The Sheriff of the City of St. Louis shall maintain a list of persons licensed to serve process pursuant to this rule, and shall make such list available to litigants upon request.
- 5. A photo identification card designed by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis shall be issued in addition to the license. No other identification will be allowed. All licenses must be signed and approved by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis and the Presiding Judge or his designee.
- 6. A license fee recommended by the Sheriff and approved by the Court En Banc shall be charged to cover the costs of compiling and maintaining the list of process servers and for the training of such process servers. The license fees shall be made payable to the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis.

- 7. A license for service of process issued under this rule may be revoked by the Sheriff with the approval of the Presiding Judge or his designee, for any of the following reasons:
 - a. Misrepresentation of duty or authority;
 - b. Conviction, guilty plea or finding of guilty of any state or federal felony, or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude;
 - c. Improper use of the license;
 - d. Making a false return; or
 - e. Any other good cause.

Provided, no service of process made by an appointed process server with a revoked license shall be void if the Court or Circuit Clerk made the appointment in good faith without knowledge of the license revocation.

- 8. Any person authorized to serve process may carry a concealed firearm as allowed by Section 506.145, RSMo, only while actually engaged in the service of process and only if the person has passed a firearms qualification test approved by a law enforcement agency; provided, however, that any licensed special process server may file a written waiver of the right to carry a concealed firearm and thereby avoid the requirements of firearm training and testing. Any violation of this section shall be considered beyond the scope of the privilege to carry a concealed weapon that is granted by the appointment, and shall constitute good cause for the revocation of the license.
- 9. Applications for the appointment of a special process server shall be made on forms available in the offices of the Sheriff and Circuit Clerk. Orders Appointing special process servers may list more than one licensed server as alternatives.
- 10. The licenses granted pursuant to this rule shall be good for two years. Each person granted a license shall be required to reapply at the expiration of the license and shall be required to provide all the information required in the initial application, including a current police record check.

(Approved 9/28/92; amended 11/23/92; 5/31/95; 12/17/07)

1922-CC10802

In the	₽				
CIF	RC	Uľ	T C	OU	RT
City	of	St. I	_ouis	, Mi	ssour



Jill Browning
Plaintiff/Petitioner

7/5/2019
Date

vs.

Apex Physical Therapy, LLC

Defendant/Respondent

Division

L

DECLIEST FOR ADDOINTM	MENT OF PROCESS SERVER
Comes now Plaintiff, Jill Browning	, pursuant
Request	ling Party
to Local Rule 14, requests the appointment	t by the Circuit Clerk of
Arthur Hidalgo	P.O. Box 66, Chesterfield, MO 63066 (314) 456-9318
Name of Process Server Bob Thomure	Address Telephone P.O. Box 23008, St. Louis, MO 63156 (314) 517-2798
Name of Process Server	Address Telephone
Rufus Harmon	P.O. Box 1784 Jefferson City MO 65102 (573) 635-6690
Name of Process Server	Address Telephone
to serve the summons and petition in this c	cause on the below named parties.
ombur.	CEDVE.
SERVE: Apex Physical Therapy, LLC	SERVE: Bradley R. Pfitzner
Name	Name
884 Woods Mill Road, Ste. 200	932 Brookhaven Lane
Address	Address
Ballwin, MO 63011	Sunrise Beach, MO 65079
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
SERVE:	SERVE:
Name	Name
Address	Address
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip
Annainted as regulateds	
Appointed as requested:	/s/ James E. Beal
TOM KLOEPPINGER, Circuit Clerk	Attornov/Plaintiff/Politioner
///////////////////////////////////////	Attorney/PiaIntlff/Petitioner 58514
By	Bar No. 120 S, Central Ave. Ste.160 St. Louis MO63105
Deputy Clerk	Address
MAIG	(314) 725-7577
Date	Phone No.

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 08/23/19 Page: 21 of 31 PageID #: 28



IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

0550×					
Judge or Division:	·	Case Number: 1922-CC10802			
REX M BURLISON					
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Ad	dress	B THOMURE	
		JAMES E BEAL		SPECIAL PROCE	ESS
JILL BROWNING				SERVER	
•		120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE			
,		SUITE 160			
	VS.	CLAYTON, MO 63105			
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:	`		
APEX PHYSICAL THERA	DV IIC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING			
	1, 1, 1	10 N TUCKER BLVD			
Nature of Suit:		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101			
CC Other Tort		3AIN1 20010, WO 00101		(Date File Stamp)	<u></u>
		mmons in Civil Case	•		
The State of Missouri to		NER .			
and DECOMMENT AND	Alias:				
932 BROOKHAVEN LANE					1
SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079		-	SPECIAL	PROCESS SERV	'ER
		_			
COURT SEAL OF	Vou are cummone	d to appear before this court and	to file your n	leading to the petit	ion. a
COOKT SEAL OF	Tou are summone	u to appear before this court and	our ploading	unan the attorney f	Or.,
	copy of which is a	tached, and to serve a copy of y	our pleading	upon ine altorney i	01
(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text	plaintiff/petitioner	at the above address all within 3	υ days after re	eceiving this summ	ons,
(3/\500)	exclusive of the da	y of service. If you fail to file you	ur pleading, ju	dgment by default	may
151 1805 31 151	he taken against v	ou for the relief demanded in the	petition.		
	be taken agamet y	ر از	-0 110	•	
	July 8, 2019	7.	Romas Play	ypinger	
CITY OF ST LOUIS	oa.y 0, 20.0		homas Kloy	, ()	
	Date		. Clerk		
	Further Information:				
	SI	neriff's or Server's Return	• •		
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be retu	rned to the court within 30 days after t	ne date of issue.		
	d the above summons by:			•	
i certify that i have serve	d the above summons by:	file and the selection and transport	nnt		
delivering a copy of the	ne summons and a copy of	f the petition to the defendant/respond	CIII. -lahada of tha d	ofondant/roonandant u	vith
leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the	ne petition at the dwelling place or usually a person of the defen	dentickeenende	oteriuanimespondent w	nui a of
		, a person of the deten	dant siresponde	ill S lattilly over the age	; 01
15 years who perma	nently resides with the de	tendant/respondent.	. Industrial		
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy	of the summons and a copy of the con	ipiaini to:	(title	۵)
<u> </u>		(name)		(uu	<i>∃</i>).
☐ other:					-•
,		•		(addres	20)
Served at					•
in	(County/Ci	ty of St. Louis), MO, on	(dat	e) at (tim	ne).
			·		
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of She	eriff or Server	
	Must be sworn before a n	otary public if not served by an authoriz	ed officer:		
		before me on		(date).	
· (Seal)	. Gabacilbed and awaiii a	, 201010 III 0 II		` ,	
(600,	My commission expires				
•	tilly commission expired	Date	Note	ry Public	
Ol	-la				
Sheriff's Fees, if applical	DIE			•	
Summons	a				
Non Est	\$				
Sheriff's Deputy Salary		·			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00				•
Mileage		miles @ \$ per mile)			
_					
Total	\$			anda of nomine on all	
A service of the automorphics	nd a copy of the petition m	ust be served on each defendant/resp	onaent. For met	nous of service on all	

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 08/23/19 Page: 22 of 31 PageID #: 29



IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:		Case Number: 1922-CC10802		
REX M BURLISON .				
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Ac	Idress	B THOMURE
		JAMES E BEAL		SPECIAL PROCESS
JILL BROWNING .		120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE		SERVER
		SUITE 160		
	VS.	01 AV (TON 110 0040F		
Defendant/Respondent:	- 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	Court Address:		,
APEX PHYSICAL THERA	APY II C	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		
Nature of Suit:	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 N TUCKER BLVD		
CC Other Tort		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		(Date File Stamp)
50 Other Fore	Sui	mmons in Civil Case		<u>.</u>
The State of Missouri to				
illo otato oi illioodat.	Alias:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
STEVEN J ORAVEC, RAGT				<u></u>
884 WOODS MILL ROAD SUI	TE 200		SPECIALPI	ROCESS SERVER
BALLWIN, MO 63011			J ,,	
COURT SEAL OF	You are summone	d to appear before this court and	to file your ple	ading to the petition, a
OURTOR	conv of which is at	tached, and to serve a copy of y	our pleading up	on the attorney for
	plaintiff/petitioner	at the above address all within 3	0 days after rec	eiving this summons,
(3)(3)(3)(3)	exclusive of the da	y of service. If you fail to file yo	ur pleading, judg	ment by default may
	be taken against v	ou for the relief demanded in the	petition.	
			. 4	
CITY OF ST LOUIS	July 8, 2019	/.	Lower Ploepy.	unger .
011 1 01 01 20010	· Date		Clerk	<u>U</u>
			, Olo., N	
	Further Information:	neriff's or Server's Return		
Note to sending officer		rned to the court within 30 days after t	he date of issue.	
	ed the above summons by:		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
certify that I have serve	to the above summons by.	f the petition to the defendant/respond	ont .	•
delivering a copy of the	ne summons and a copy of the	ne petition at the dwelling place or usu	al abode of the defe	endant/respondent with
☐ leaving a copy of the	Summons and a copy of the	a person of the defen	dant's/respondent's	s family over the age of
15 years who perma	anently resides with the de	fendant/respondent.	•	,
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy	of the summons and a copy of the con	nplaint to:	h
	- Company of the Comp	(name)		(title).
other:				•
Served at				(address)
in	(County/Ci	ty of St. Louis), MO, on	(date)	at (time).
111	(Odansyron	.,		•
			0' - I - (0) - '(1)	
Printed Nan	ne of Sheriff or Server	otary public if not served by an authoriz	Signature of Sherift	or Server
				ate).
(Seal)	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on		a.c.,.
(Oeal)	My commission expires:			•
	,,	Date	· Notary	Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applical	ble			
Summons	\$:		
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	•			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00			
Mileage	\$(miles @ \$ per mile)		
Total	· \$			•
A copy of the summons a		ust be served on each defendant/resp	ondent. For metho	ds of service on all
		•		

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.



IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

		٦
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC10802	
REX M BURLISON	•	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	B THOMURE
JILL BROWNING .	JAMES E BEAL	SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER
	120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE	SLIVEN
·	SUITE 160 LOCAYTON, MO 63105	
VS VS	Court Address:	1
Defendant/Respondent: APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD	
CC Other Tort	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101 ·	· (Date File Stamp)
· S	ummons in Civil Case	ı
The State of Missouri to: APEX PHYSICAL	THERAPY, LLC	
Alias:		

CC Other Tort		SAINT LOUIS, MO	63101	İ	· (Date File Stamp)
Manager Commence of the Commen	Su	mmons in Civi	l Case		
The State of Missouri to	: APEX PHYSICAL T Alias:	THERAPY, LLC			
STEVEN J ORAVEC, RAGT 884 WOODS MILL ROAD SUIT BALLWIN, MO 63011	E 200		ទរ	PECIALPR	OCESS SERVER
COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is a plaintiff/petitioner exclusive of the da	ittached, and to serv at the above addres	e a copy of your p s all within 30 day fail to file your ple landed in the petil	oleading upo vs after recei eadiņg, judgr tion.	iving this summons, nent by default may
CITY OF ST LOUIS	July 8, 2019		Thomas	Clerk Clerk	yer .
	Date Further Information:			Clerk	
I leaving a copy of the s AWYCW O' 15 years who perma (for service on a corpo other: Served at 884 In 84 Lows AHWY II do	Summons should be retuded the above summons by a summons and a copy of the DONNELL nently resides with the depration) delivering a copy	c (check one) of the petition to the defence petition at the dwelling a persectendant/respondent. of the summons and a continuous co	and days after the date and ant/respondent, g place or usual about on of the defendant's copy of the complaint	de of the defendrespondent's to:	(title), (address) (time).
(Seal)	Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires:			(date	
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage Total A copy of the summons and classes of sults, see Supre	\$(miles @ \$ nust be served on each c		t. For methods	of service on all

Му

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #:	1-1 Filed: 08/23/19 Page	e: 24 of 31 P	'ageID #: 31 <u>-</u>
MITTIG			rageID #: 31 Electronically Filed
IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRC	HIT CITY OF STICHIS MI	SSOURI	· Ŝ
IN THE 22ND SODIGIAL CINC	orr, orriver ar Ecolo, line	ooola	Ca
4. S.			——————————————————————————————————————
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC10802		· ·
REX M BURLISON	AAAAA WAAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA A		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Ad	dress	B THOMURE OF SPECIAL PROCESS
JILL BROWNING	JAMES E BEAL · 120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE		SERVER 9
	SUITE 160	•	. 00
, vs.	CLAYTON, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	`	Ouis
APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		1
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		(Date File Stamp)
CC Other Tort	<u> </u>		(Date File Stamp)
-	mmons in Civil Case		
The State of Missouri to: BRADLEY R. PFITZ	NER .		
Alias: 932 BROOKHAVEN LANE	4		
SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079		SDECIAL I	
•		OFLOIAL	PROCESS SERVER
COURT SEAL OF You are summone	ı d to appear before this court and	to file your p	
copy of which is a	ttached, and to serve a copy of y	our pleading t	ipon the attorney for 🔰
plaintiff/petitioner	at the above address all within 3	0 days after re	ceiving this summons,
	y of service. If you fail to file you		dgment by default may
be taken against y	ou for the relief demanded in the		,
CITY OF ST LOUIS July 8, 2019	7.	Romas Klory	gruger .
Date		. Clerk	
Further Information:			
St	eriff's or Server's Return	• •	,
Note to serving officer: Summons should be retu	med to the court within 30 days after th	e date of issue.	
I certify that I have served the above summons by:	(check one)	n m t	
☐ delivering a copy of the summons and a copy o☐ leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the	e netition at the dwelling place or usua	al abode of the de	efendant/respondent with
•	, a person of the deten	dant's/responder	it's family over the age of
15 years who permanently resides with the de ☐ (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy	fendant/respondent.	intaint to:	
			(title).
▼ other: 50 ruli- 13 Hon - 05			*
			(address)
Served at	()	· /date	e) at (time).
in(County/Cli	ty of St. Louis), IVIO, on	(date	, at (unit).
Rufus R. HAVMOI	studio	K. Ha	ine
HEATHER D. Boying Newman of Sheriff or Server		Signature of She	riff or Server
Notary Public - Notary Seal Must be sworn before a n		_	(date).
County of Callaway - Subscribed and sworm to	before me on Aug 8 1		idate).
Commission Expires 10/19/2020 Commission # 16186126	10/19/2020 Date	Nota	ry Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable			,
Summons \$			
Non Est \$ Sheriff's Deputy Salary			
Supplemental Surcharge \$ 10.00			
Mileage \$(_	miles @ \$ per mile)		
Total \$		ondent Formeth	ods of service on all
A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition m classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.	ust be served on each delendarimesp	OHOGHE COLINGE	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS CITY STATE OF MISSOURI

JILL BROWNING,)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 1922-CC10802
VS.)	Division: 1
APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC AND BRADLEY R. PFITZNER,)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Jill Browning, through undersigned counsel, hereby requests alias summons to issue to Defendant Bradley R. Pfitzner for Plaintiff, to be served by a Private Process Server obtained by Plaintiff's counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ James E. Beal

Rogers Sevastianos & Bante LLP Noel A. Sevastianos, #45970 James E. Beal, #58514 120 South Central Avenue, Suite 160 St. Louis, MO 63105-1705 (314) 725-7577 (Phone) (314) 354-8271 (Fax) noel@rsblawfirm.com (email) jbeal@rsblawfirm.com (email)

In the	
CIRCUIT	COURT
City of St. Lo	ouis, Missour



For File Stamp Only

oity or our mounts, and a country	10019 6111		
Jill Browning			
Plaintiff/Petitioner	8/14/19		
THE	Date		
vs.	1922-CC10802		
	Case number		
Apex Physical Therapy, LLC, et al.			
Defendant/Respondent	1		
	Division	1	ı
		L,	

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Plaintiff Jill Browning			pursuant
Reque	esting Party		
to Local Rule 14, requests the appointment	nt by the	Circuit Clerk of	
Craig Ventimiglia, Kellerman Investigations	60 Mair	n Street, Glen Carbon, IL 62034	(618) 288-6662
Name of Process Server Rufus Harmon	Address P.O. Bo	ox 1784 Jefferson CityMO 65102	Telephone (573) 635-6690
Name of Process Server	Address		Telephone
Name of Process Server	Address		Telephone
to serve the summons and petition in this	cause or	n the below named parties.	
SERVE: Bradley Pfitzner		SERVE:	
Name 932 Brookhaven Lane		Name	And to the state of the state o
Address Sunrise Beach, MO 65079		Address	
City/State/Zip		City/State/Zip	
SERVE:		SERVE:	
Name		Name	
Address		Address	
City/State/Zip		City/State/Zip	
Appointed as requested:			
TOM KLOEPPINGER, Circuit Clerk		/s/ James E. Beal	
		Attorney/Plaintiff/Petitioner 58514	
By		Bar No. 120 S. Central Ave. Ste. 160 C	layton MO 63105
		Address (314) 725-7577	
Date		Phone No.	

RULE 14 SPECIAL PROCESS SERVERS

- 1. Any person appointed by the Court or the Circuit Clerk to serve process must have a license issued pursuant to this rule to serve process.
- 2. Licenses to serve process shall be issued by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis if the applicant has met the following qualifications:
 - a. Is twenty-one years of age or older;
 - b. Has a high school diploma or an equivalent level of education;
 - c. Has insurance coverage for any errors or omissions occurring in the service of process;
 - d. Has not been convicted, pleaded guilty to or been found guilty of any felony, or of any misdemeanor involving moral turpitude; and,
 - e. Has passed a training course for the service of process which shall be administered by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis.
- 3. Each applicant for a process server license under the provisions of this rule shall provide an affidavit setting forth such person's legal name, current address, any other occupations and current telephone numbers. Licensed process servers shall immediately notify the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis of any change in the above information, and the failure to do so shall constitute good cause for the revocation of such person's license.
- 4. The Sheriff of the City of St. Louis shall maintain a list of persons licensed to serve process pursuant to this rule, and shall make such list available to litigants upon request.
- 5. A photo identification card designed by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis shall be issued in addition to the license. No other identification will be allowed. All licenses must be signed and approved by the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis and the Presiding Judge or his designee.
- 6. A license fee recommended by the Sheriff and approved by the Court En Banc shall be charged to cover the costs of compiling and maintaining the list of process servers and for the training of such process servers. The license fees shall be made payable to the Sheriff of the City of St. Louis.

- 7. A license for service of process issued under this rule may be revoked by the Sheriff with the approval of the Presiding Judge or his designee, for any of the following reasons:
 - a. Misrepresentation of duty or authority;
 - b. Conviction, guilty plea or finding of guilty of any state or federal felony, or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude;
 - c. Improper use of the license;
 - d. Making a false return; or
 - e. Any other good cause.

Provided, no service of process made by an appointed process server with a revoked license shall be void if the Court or Circuit Clerk made the appointment in good faith without knowledge of the license revocation.

- 8. Any person authorized to serve process may carry a concealed firearm as allowed by Section 506.145, RSMo, only while actually engaged in the service of process and only if the person has passed a firearms qualification test approved by a law enforcement agency; provided, however, that any licensed special process server may file a written waiver of the right to carry a concealed firearm and thereby avoid the requirements of firearm training and testing. Any violation of this section shall be considered beyond the scope of the privilege to carry a concealed weapon that is granted by the appointment, and shall constitute good cause for the revocation of the license.
- 9. Applications for the appointment of a special process server shall be made on forms available in the offices of the Sheriff and Circuit Clerk. Orders Appointing special process servers may list more than one licensed server as alternatives.
- 10. The licenses granted pursuant to this rule shall be good for two years. Each person granted a license shall be required to reapply at the expiration of the license and shall be required to provide all the information required in the initial application, including a current police record check.

(Approved 9/28/92; amended 11/23/92; 5/31/95; 12/17/07)

For File Stamp Only

In the City of St. Louis, Missouri

Oity of our mould, imposours	of Louis City
Jill Browning	
Plaintiff/Petitloner	8/14/19
	Date
vs.	1922-CC10802
Apex Physical Therapy, LLC, et al.	Case number
Defendant/Respondent	1
•	Division

REQUEST FOR APPOINT	MENT OF PROCESS SER	VER
Comes now Plaintiff Jill Browning		, pursuant
Reque	sting Party .	
to Local Rule 14, requests the appointment	nt by the Circuit Clerk of	
Craig Ventimiglia, Kellerman Investigations	60 Main Street, Glen Carbon, IL 6203	4 (618) 288-6662
Name of Process Server Rufus Harmon	Address P.O. Box 1784 Jefferson CityMO 6510	Telephone 02 (573) 635-6690
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
Name of Process Server to serve the summons and petition in this	. Address cause on the below named parties.	Telephone
SERVE: Bradley Pfitzner	SERVE:	
Name 932 Brookhaven Lane	Name	
Address Sunrise Beach, MO 65079	Address	
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip	
SERVE:	SERVE:	•
Name	Name .	
Address	Address	•
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip	
Appointed as requested: TOM KLOEPPINGER, Circuit Clerk	/s/ James E. Beal	
By	Attorney/Plaintiff/Petitioner 58514 Bar No. 120 S. Central Ave, Ste. 160	Clayton MO 63105
Deputy Clerk 8-19-19	Address (314) 725-7577	City Coll (110 Coll (100
Date	Phone No.	

Му

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #	t: 1-1 Filed: 08/23/19 Pag	je: 30 of 31 F	PageID #: 37
IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIR	CURT CITY OF STUDIES IN	ISSUIDI	'ageID #: 37
IN THE 22ND GODICIAL CIX	oon, on or ar Loois, w	BOOK	
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC10802		
REX M BURLISON			
Plaintiff/Petitloner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/A	ddress	B THOMURE SPECIAL PROCESS
JILL BROWNING	JAMES E BEAL .	_	SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER
	120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENU		OLIVEIX .
VS	ni 11 mari 11 an an 11 m		
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:		
APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD		,
CC Other Tort	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		(Date File Stamp)
. Si	ımmons in Civil Case	•	
The State of Missouri to: BRADLEY R. PFIT	ZNER		
Alias:	·	-	
932 BROOKHAVEN LANE SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079			'}
	-	SPECIAL	PROCESS SERVER
COURT REMI OF Many and a second			
COURT SEAL OF You are summon	ed to appear before this court an attached, and to serve a copy of y	a to the your pl	eading to the petition, a
	r at the above address all within 3		ceiving this summons
	lay of service. If you fail to file yo		
	you for the relief demanded in the		agina ay abiaan inay
			,
CITY OF ST LOUIS July 8, 201	·	Lonas Hoy	gringer
Date	•	, Clerk	
Further Information:			
	Sheriff's or Server's Return		,
Note to serving officer: Summons should be re-		he date of issue.	
I certify that I have served the above summons b		!	\
delivering a copy of the summons and a copy leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of	of the petition to the defendant/respond	ient. Ial abode of the de	efendant/respondent with
- · · ·	. a person of the defer	ndant's/responden	t's family over the age of
15 years who permanently resides with the c	efendant/respondent.		_
(for service on a corporation) delivering a cop	/ of the summons and a copy of the cor	nplaint to:	(title).
Y other: 50 ruli- 13 HON - 08	(name)		(1110).
			,
Served at		·	(address)
in (County/C	City of St. Louis), MO, on	(date	e) at (time).
	20	011	
Rufus R. HA. moil	fugre	, K. Ha	men
HEATHER D. BYINN Same of Sheriff or Server lotary Public - Notary Seal Must be sworn before a		Signature of Sher	riff or Server
STATE OF MISSOURI Cubarribad and awarn	to before me on Aug 8 1	_	date).
County of Callaway . Oursonned and sworn	-		dato).
ommission Expires 10/19/2020 Commission # 16186126 My commission expire	s: 10/19/2020 Date	MA HODA	y Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable	JEW .	110141	,
Summons \$		•	•
Non Est \$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	•		
Supplemental Surcharge \$ 10.00	miles 6 th manuffet		•
	miles @ \$ per mile)		
A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition i	must be served on each defendant/resr	ondent, For meth	ods of service on all
classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.	Hade an object on amore absorbed intro-		-

Case: 4:19-cv-02395-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 08/23/19 Page: 31 of 31 PageID #: 38



SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

		_	
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC10802		
REX M BURLISON		Special Process Server 1	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address		
JILL BROWNING	JAMES E BEAL	Special Process Server 2	
	120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE		
No.	SUITE 160	Chariel Drassas Canyor 2	
VS.	CLAYTON, MO 63105	Special Process Server 3	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:		
APEX PHYSICAL THERAPY, LLC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD		
CC Other Tort	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)	
Alias Summons in Civil Case			
The State of Missouri to: BRADLEY R. PFITZ	NER		
Alias:			
932 BROOKHAVEN LANE			

SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079 You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a COURT SEAL OF copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition. August 19, 2019 CITY OF ST LOUIS Date Further Information: Sheriff's or Server's Return Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with , a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: ____ (name) ___ other: __(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on ______ (date) at _____ (time). Signature of Sheriff or Server Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ (Seal) My commission expires: ___ Notary Public Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge (miles @ \$. per mile) Mileage Total A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.